Record-Based Transmuted Unit-Omega Distribution: Different Methods of Estimation and Applications

Authors: ASHOK KUMAR PATHAK

– Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Central University of Punjab, Bathinda-151401, India ashokiitb09@gmail.com

MOHD. ARSHAD^D

– Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Indore-453552, India arshad.iitk@gmail.com

ALOK KUMAR PANDEY

– Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Central University of Punjab, Bathinda-151401, India alokkumarpandey.nnp@gmail.com

A LAM A LI \Box

– Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Central University of Punjab, Bathinda-151401, India alam2ali1996@gmail.com

Received: Month 0000 Revised: Month 0000 Accepted: Month 0000

Abstract:

• Dombi et al. (2019) introduced a three parameter omega distribution and showed that its asymptotic distribution is the Weibull model. We propose a new record-based transmuted generalization of the unit omega distribution by considering Balakrishnan and He (2021) approach. We call it the RTUOMG distribution. We derive expressions for some statistical quantities, like, probability density function, distribution, hazard function, quantile function, moments, incomplete moments, inverted moments, moment generating function, Lorenz curve, and Bonferroni curve of the proposed distribution. The numerical values of various measures of central tendency and coefficient of skewness and kurtosis are also presented. Concepts of stochastic ordering and some results related to ordered statistics of the RTUOMG distribution are discussed. The parameters of the RTUOMG distribution are estimated using five distinct estimators. Additionally, the Monte Carlo simulations are performed to assess the performance of these estimators. Finally, two real data sets are analyzed to demonstrate the utility of the RTUOMG distribution.

Keywords:

• Omega distribution: Record values: Transmuted distributions: Gauss hypergeometric function: Incomplete gauss hypergeometric function; Estimation methods.

AMS Subject Classification:

• 60E05, 62F10, 62E15, 65C05, 33C05

 \boxtimes Corresponding author

1. INTRODUCTION

Proportion data is frequently encounter in diverse disciplines such as economics, finance, reliability, medical, biology, chemistry, etc. It is known that when the values of a random variable are reported in percentage or fraction of the whole, it is referred to as proportion data. Such variables encompass all possible values within the unit interval and require an appropriate probability distribution to effectively model the observed data. Modeling approaches on the bounded interval have received considerable attention since they are related to specific issues such as the acceptance rate, recovery rate, mortality rate, scores, proportion of the educational measurements, etc. In the last decade, a lot of research have been done for developing distributions that are defined on a bounded interval. Various techniques are used to generate these distributions such as random variable transformation, function composition, and generation of new families of distributions.

Beta distribution is one of the popularly known examples of the bounded distribution which has different density shapes and is widely used in different areas of applied sciences. Several alternative models have been defined on the bounded interval in the statistical distribution literature in order to provide better results. Topp-Leone and Kumaraswamy distributions are also well known example of the unit distribution introduced by Topp and Leone (1955) and Kumaraswamy (1980), respectively. These models have received great attention in the past years in diverse areas of the applied sciences. However, these models do not have a closed expression for the moments and are mathematically less tractable. Due to growing interest in study of the bounded distributions, some new families of the unit distributions have been proposed and studied in the recent literature. Some new among these are, namely, unitinverse gaussian distribution Ghitany et al. (2019), unit-Lindley distribution Mazucheli et al. (2019), unit Weibull Mazucheli et al. (2020), unit log-log distribution Ribeiro-Reis (2021), unit-bimodal Martínez-Flórez et al. (2024) , unit Muth distribution Maya et al. (2024) , Korkmaz and Korkmaz (2023), and unit generalized half-normal distribution Mazucheli et al. (2023).

Recently, by means of the omega function, Dombi et al. (2019) proposed a new probability distribution on the bounded domain and discussed its applications in reliability theory. A random variable U is said to have omega distribution with parameters α , β , and d, denoted by $OMG(\alpha, \beta, d)$ if its density function is given by

(1.1)
$$
f_U(x; \alpha, \beta, d) = 2\alpha \beta x^{\beta - 1} \frac{d^{2\beta}}{d^{2\beta} - x^{2\beta}} \omega_d^{(-2\alpha, \beta)}(x), \ 0 < x < d,
$$

where $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $d > 0$, and $\omega_d^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \left(\frac{d^{\beta}+x^{\beta}}{d^{\beta}-x^{\beta}}\right)$ $\int^{\alpha d^{\beta}/2}$ denotes the omega function. The hazard function of the omega distribution can be monotonic, constant, and bathtub shapes. Therefore, it can used in modeling diverse class of real phenomena. Dombi et al. (2019) showed that the limiting omega distribution is just the Weibull distribution and can be used in place of the Weibull distribution. For some more developments and discussion about omega distribution one can refer to Okorie and Nadarajah (2019), Alsubie et al. (2021), Birbiçer and Genç (2023), Jónás and Bakouch (2022), and Özbilen and Genç (2022). Specially, when $d = 1$, the omega distribution corresponds to the unit omega distribution (see Prataviera and Cordeiro (2024)) supported on the unit interval. Its density and distribution functions (DF)

are given by

(1.2)
$$
f_U(x; \alpha, \beta) = 2\alpha \beta x^{\beta - 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^{2\beta}} \omega_1^{(-2\alpha, \beta)}(x), \ 0 < x < 1
$$

and

(1.3)
$$
F_U(x; \alpha, \beta) = 1 - \omega_1^{(-2\alpha, \beta)}(x), \ 0 < x < 1,
$$

respectively. Birbiçer and Genç (2023) showed that the unit omega distribution is actually a unit exponentiated half logistic distribution. That is, the random variable $X = e^{-Z}$ has the unit omega distribution when Z is the exponentiated half logistic random variable. The distribution function of the unit omega is mathematically simple and independent special functions and anticipate ease in exploring its important statistical properties and statistical inference in the model. It can have different shapes including U-shaped, J-shaped, reversed J-shaped, left and right skewed and are used in various applications related to reliability.

By the means of the quadratic rank transformation, Shaw and Buckley (2007) introduced a new class of transmuted distributions using baseline distribution. We say that a random variable Y has the transmuted distribution if its distribution is expressed as

(1.4)
$$
G_{\theta}(y) = (1 + \theta)H(y) - \theta H^{2}(y), \ y \in \mathbb{R},
$$

where $H(\cdot)$ is the baseline distribution and $|\theta| \leq 1$. One can see that the transmuted distribution defined in (1.4) can be obtained from the combination of distribution functions of the smallest and largest order statistics from the baseline distribution in a sample of size 2. Transmutation of the baseline distribution is a powerful tool to construct the skewed probability distribution and have been used by several researchers in the recent years. Granzotto et al. (2017) proposed the cubic rank transmuted distribution and discussed its important statistical properties. In addition to these publications, there are several papers in the literature that discussed the fundamental characteristics of various new quadratic and cubic transmuted distributions, readers can see Merovci (2013), Elbatal (2013), Tian et al. (2014), Khan and King (2014), Kemaloglu and Yilmaz (2017), Alizadeh et al. (2018), Kharazmi and Balakrishnan (2021), Chhetri et al. (2022), and Taniş and Saraçoğlu (2023). Recently, Balakrishnan and He (2021) formulated a record-based transmuted map to generate new class of probability models from the baseline distribution. They showed that the record-based transmuted distribution are expressed via the relation

(1.5)
$$
F_p(x) = H(x) + p\overline{H}(x)\log\overline{H}(x), x \in \mathbb{R},
$$

where $0 \le p \le 1$, and $H(\cdot), \overline{H}(\cdot)$ denotes the distribution function (DF) and survival function (SF) of the baseline distribution, respectively. Balakrishnan and He (2021) also introduced some new record-based transmuted (RT) probability distribution, namely, RT-exponential distribution, RT-Weibull distribution, and RT-Linear exponential distribution. Tanns and Saracoğlu (2022) discussed the different estimation for parameters estimation of the RT-Weibull distribution and demonstrated its real application. The RT-generalized linear exponential distribution is proposed and studied by Arshad et al. (2024). They also analyzed the lifetime data sets using it.

This paper aims to introduce a new record-based transmuted generalization of the unit omega distribution and study its important properties. The proposed distribution is a more broad family of probability distributions and includes the unit omega distribution as a

submodel. Its density and hazard function can be used to handle a vast class of data that arises in a variety of domains. These functions have various shapes, including as increasing, decreasing, and bathtub shapes.

The organization of the article is as follows: Section 2 presents the mathematical framework of the proposed RTUOMG distribution. We derive the expressions for the DF, density, hazard function, quantile function, moments, incomplete moments, inverted moments, moment generating function, lorenz curve, and bonferroni curve of the RTUOMG distribution. Section 3 presents some concepts of stochastic ordering and some results related to ordered statistic of the proposed distribution. In Section 4, the maximum likelihood estimators, least squares and weighted least squares estimators, Cramér-von Mises estimators, and Anderson-Darling estimators of the parameters are explored. Section 5 presents the detailed Monte Carlo simulation study to validate the performances of the estimators through the absolute bias and mean squared error measures. Finally, in Section 6, two real data sets are analyzed to show the applicability of RTUOMG distribution in real situations.

2. RECORD-BASED TRANSMUTED UNIT-OMEGA DISTRIBUTION

Let Y_1, Y_2, \ldots be a sequence of independent and identically distributed (iid) random variables having DF $H(\cdot)$. Let $Y_{U(1)}$ and $Y_{U(2)}$ denote the first two upper records from the sequence of iid random variables. Now, define a random variable X as

$$
X = \begin{cases} Y_{U(1)}, & \text{with probability } 1 - p \\ Y_{U(2)}, & \text{with probability } p, \end{cases}
$$

where $0 \leq p \leq 1$. The DF of X is obtained as follows (see Balakrishnan and He (2021)):

$$
F_X(x) = (1 - p)P(Y_{U(1)} \le x) + pP(Y_{U(2)} \le x)
$$

$$
= (1 - p)H(x) + p \left[1 - \overline{H}(x) \sum_{r=0}^{1} \frac{(-\log \overline{H}(x))^r}{r!} \right]
$$

$$
= (1 - p)H(x) + p[1 - \overline{H}(x)(1 - \log \overline{H}(x))]
$$

$$
= H(x) + p\overline{H}(x) \log \overline{H}(x), \ x \in \mathbb{R},
$$

where $\overline{H}(x)=1 - H(x)$ is SF of the baseline distribution $H(x)$. The density function and hazard function (HF) of X are respectively, given by

(2.2)
$$
f_X(x) = h(x)[1 - p - p \log \overline{H}(x)], x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

and

(2.3)
$$
r_X(x) = r(x) \frac{1 - p - p \log \overline{H}(x)}{1 - p \log \overline{H}(x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},
$$

where $h(x)$ is the density function of the baseline distribution, and $r(x)$ denotes the HF of the baseline distribution and is defined as $r(x) = h(x)/\overline{H}(x)$.

We say that a random variable X follows the record-based transmuted unit omega distribution (denoted by $X \sim RTUOMG(\alpha, \beta, p)$) if its distribution function is given by

(2.4)
$$
F_X(x; \alpha, \beta, p) = 1 - \omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x) + p\omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x) \log \left(\omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x)\right),
$$

where $0 < x < 1$, $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and $p \in [0,1]$. The corresponding density function of the RTUOMG distribution is given by

(2.5)
$$
f_X(x; \alpha, \beta, p) = \left(\frac{2\alpha\beta x^{\beta-1}}{1-x^{2\beta}}\right) \omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x) \left[1-p-p\log\left(\omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x)\right)\right].
$$

It may be seen that when $p = 0$, the RTUOMG distribution reduces to OMG distribution. Also, one can easily show that $F_X(x) \leq H(x)$, $\forall x \in (0,1)$. The HF of the the RTUOMG distribution is

(2.6)
$$
r_X(x; \alpha, \beta, p) = \frac{2\alpha\beta x^{\beta - 1}}{(1 - x^{2\beta})} \left\{ \frac{1 - p - p \log \left(\omega_1^{(-2\alpha, \beta)}(x) \right)}{1 + p \log \left(\omega_1^{(-2\alpha, \beta)}(x) \right)} \right\}.
$$

For different values of the model parameters α , β , and p , the plots of the probability density function (PDF) and HF are shown in the Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively. From these figures, we see that the PDF and HF of the RTUOMG distribution takes different shapes and suggest applicability of the model in diverse areas.

Figure 1: PDF plots of RTUOMG distribution.

Figure 2: HF plots of RTUOMG distribution.

2.1. Quantile function

The quantile function of the RTUOMG distribution can be derived in terms of the Lambert W function which is defined as

$$
W(\xi)e^{W(\xi)} = \xi,
$$

where ξ is a complex number. When $\xi \geq -1/e$, it has two branches, namely, principal branch (W_o) and negative branch $(W₋₁)$. For a detailed discussion about the Lambert W function one can refer to a recent paper by Jodrá and Arshad (2022).

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a random variable having RTUOMG* (α, β, p) *distribution. Then, the quantile function* $Q(u)$ *is*

(2.7)
$$
Q(u) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\frac{-1}{\alpha} \left\{ W_{-1} \left(\frac{u-1}{pe^{1/p}} \right) + \frac{1}{p} \right\}} - 1\\ e^{\frac{-1}{\alpha} \left\{ W_{-1} \left(\frac{u-1}{pe^{1/p}} \right) + \frac{1}{p} \right\}} + 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, 0 < u < 1.
$$

Proof: For $u \in (0,1)$, the solution of $F(x) = u$ yields the quantile function. Now, we have

$$
\left\{1 - p \log \left(\frac{1 + x^{\beta}}{1 - x^{\beta}}\right)^{-\alpha}\right\} \left(\frac{1 + x^{\beta}}{1 - x^{\beta}}\right)^{-\alpha} = 1 - u
$$

$$
\left\{\frac{-1}{p} + \log \left(\frac{1 + x^{\beta}}{1 - x^{\beta}}\right)^{-\alpha}\right\} e^{\log \left(\frac{1 + x^{\beta}}{1 - x^{\beta}}\right)^{-\alpha} - \frac{1}{p}} = \frac{u - 1}{pe^{\frac{1}{p}}}.
$$

It can be verified that $(u-1)/pe^{1/p} \in [-1/e, 0)$, and $\frac{-1}{p} + \log \left(\frac{1+x^{\beta}}{1-x^{\beta}} \right)$ $\frac{1-x^{\beta}}{\beta}$ $\int^{-\alpha} \in (-\infty, -1]$. Now, using the W_{-1} function in the above equation, we get

(2.8)
$$
W_{-1}\left(\frac{u-1}{pe^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right) = \frac{-1}{p} + \log\left(\frac{1+x^{\beta}}{1-x^{\beta}}\right)^{-\alpha}.
$$

On solving (2.8) for x, completes the proof of the theorem.

Next, we have the following lemmas which are needed to derive various types of the moments.

Lemma 2.1. *For* $\lambda > 0$ *and* $\mu > 0$ *, we have (see Lee et al. (2011))*

(2.9)
$$
\int_0^1 x^{\lambda - 1} (1 - x)^{\mu - 1} (1 - \beta x)^{-\nu} dx = B(\lambda, \mu) \; _2F_1(\nu, \lambda; \lambda + \mu; \beta),
$$

where $B(\alpha, \beta)$ and ${}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; x)$ are the beta function and Gauss hypergeometric function, *respectively, defined by*

$$
B(\alpha, \beta) = \int_0^1 t^{\alpha - 1} (1 - t)^{\beta - 1} dt
$$

and

$$
{}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_i(\beta)_i}{(\gamma)_i} \frac{x^i}{i!},
$$

where $(n)_i = n(n+1)(n+2)...(n+i-1)$ *denotes the falling factorial.*

 \Box

Lemma 2.2. *[Formula 1.513.1 in Gradshteyn and Ryzhik* (2014)]. If $y^2 < 1$ *, then*

(2.10)
$$
\log\left(\frac{1+y}{1-y}\right) = 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2k-1}y^{2k-1}.
$$

Lemma 2.3. *For* $Re(a) > Re(b) > 0, |arg(1-x)| < \pi$, we have (see Özarslan and *Ustao˘glu (2019))*

(2.11)
$$
\int_0^{\lambda} y^{b-1} (1-y)^{a-b-1} (1-xy)^{-\alpha} dy = B(b, a-b) \; 2\delta_1(\alpha, [b, a; \lambda], x),
$$

where $B(\alpha, \beta)$ *is the beta function defined in Lemma 2.1 and* $_2\delta_1(\alpha, \beta, \alpha; \lambda], x)$ *represents the incomplete gauss hypergeometric function defined by*

$$
{}_2\delta_1(\alpha,[b,a;\lambda],x)=\sum_{i=0}^\infty(\alpha)_i[b,a;\lambda]_i\frac{x^i}{i!},
$$

where $[b, a; \lambda]_i$ *denotes the incomplete Pochhammer ratio which is introduced in terms of the incomplete beta function as follows*

$$
[b, a; \lambda]_i = \frac{B_{\lambda}(b+i, a-b)}{B(b, a-b)},
$$

where $B_{\lambda}(m, n)$ *is known as incomplete beta function and given by*

$$
B_{\lambda}(m,n) = \int_0^{\lambda} t^{m-1} (1-t)^{n-1} dt, \ Re(m) > 0, \ Re(n) > 0, \ 0 \le \lambda < 1.
$$

2.2. Moments and measures

The rth order moment is defined by

$$
\mu'_r = \mathbb{E}[X^r] = \int x^r f_X(x) dx.
$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let* $X \sim RTUOMG(\alpha, \beta, p)$ *. Then*

$$
\mu'_{r} = 2\alpha(1-p)B\left(\frac{r}{\beta} + 1, \alpha\right) {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\alpha+1, \frac{r}{\beta} + 1; \frac{r}{\beta} + \alpha+1; -1\right) + 4p\alpha^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2k-1} B\left(\frac{r}{\beta} + 2k, \alpha\right) {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\alpha+1, \frac{r}{\beta} + 2k; \frac{r}{\beta} + 2k + \alpha; -1\right) \right].
$$

Proof: We have

$$
\mu'_{r} = \int_{0}^{1} x^{r} \left(\frac{2\alpha \beta x^{\beta - 1}}{1 - x^{2\beta}} \right) \omega_{1}^{(-2\alpha, \beta)}(x) \left[1 - p - p \log \left(\omega_{1}^{(-2\alpha, \beta)}(x) \right) \right] dx
$$

=
$$
\int_{0}^{1} x^{r} \frac{2\alpha \beta x^{\beta - 1}}{1 - x^{2\beta}} \left(\frac{1 + x^{\beta}}{1 - x^{\beta}} \right)^{-\alpha} \left[1 - p + p \alpha \log \left(\frac{1 + x^{\beta}}{1 - x^{\beta}} \right) \right] dx.
$$

 \Box

Setting $y = x^{\beta}$, we get

$$
\mu_r' = \int_0^1 y^{\frac{r}{\beta}} \frac{2\alpha}{1-y^2} \left(\frac{1+y}{1-y}\right)^{-\alpha} \left[1 - p + p\alpha \log\left(\frac{1+y}{1-y}\right)\right] dy.
$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we get

$$
\mu_r' = 2\alpha \int_0^1 \frac{y^{\frac{r}{\beta}}}{(1+y)(1-y)} \left(\frac{1+y}{1-y}\right)^{-\alpha} \left[1 - p + 2p\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k-1} y^{2k-1}\right] dy.
$$

By an use of the Lemma 2.1 and simple calculation completes the proof.

The coefficient of Skewness (CS) and the coefficient of Kurtosis (CK) using first four moment are respectively, defined as

$$
CS = \frac{\mu'_3 - 3\mu'_2 \mu'_1 + 2{\mu'_1}^3}{\mu_2^{\frac{3}{2}}}
$$

and

$$
CK = \frac{\mu_4' - 4\mu_1'\mu_3' + 6\mu_1'^2\mu_2' - 3\mu_1'^4}{\mu_2^2},
$$

where μ_2 is variance and is defined as $\mu_2 = \mu'_2 - (\mu'_1)^2$.

In Table 1, we find a compilation of statistical moments, variance, CS, and CK for various parameter values of the RTUOM $G(\alpha, \beta, p)$ distribution. Additionally, the Figure 3 provides a visual representation of how skewness and kurtosis change across different parameter configurations.

2.3. Moment generating function

For a random variable X, the moment generating function (MGF) $M_X(t)$ is defined as $M_X(t) = E(e^{tX})$ provided the expectation exists in some neighborhood of the origin. Let $X \sim \text{RTUOMG}(\alpha, \beta, p)$. Then, the MGF $M_{RTUOMG}(t)$ of X is

$$
M_{RTUOMG}(t) = \int_0^1 e^{tx} f_X(x) dx = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^r}{r!} \int_0^1 x^r f_X(x) dx
$$

= $2\alpha (1-p) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{t^r}{r!} B\left(\frac{r}{\beta} + 1, \alpha\right) \, {}_2F_1\left(\alpha + 1, \frac{r}{\beta} + 1; \frac{r}{\beta} + 1 + \alpha; -1\right) \right\}$
+ $4p\alpha^2 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{t^r}{r!} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2k-1} B\left(\frac{r}{\beta} + 2k, \alpha\right) \, {}_2F_1\left(\alpha + 1, \frac{r}{\beta} + 2k; \frac{r}{\beta} + 2k + \alpha; -1\right) \right] \right\}.$

Next, we present the incomplete moments for the RTUOMG distribution.

2.4. Incomplete moment

The rth incomplete moment is defined as

$$
\phi_r(z) = \int_0^z x^r f_X(x) dx.
$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $X \sim RTUOMG(\alpha, \beta, p)$ *. Then*

$$
\phi_r(z) = 2\alpha(1-p)B\left(\frac{r}{\beta} + 1, \alpha\right) 2\delta_1\left(\alpha + 1, \left[\frac{r}{\beta} + 1, \alpha + \frac{r}{\beta} + 1; z^{\beta}\right], -1\right) + 4p\alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2k-1}B\left(\frac{r}{\beta} + 2k, \alpha\right) 2\delta_1\left(\alpha + 1, \left[\frac{r}{\beta} + 2k, \alpha + \frac{r}{\beta} + 2k; z^{\beta}\right], -1\right)\right].
$$

One can easily establish the proof of the Proposition 2.2 with simple calculation and using the Lemma 2.2-2.3.

2.5. Inverted moments

The rth inverted moment of RTUOMG distribution defined by

$$
\mu_r^* = \int x^{-r} f_X(x) dx
$$

Proposition 2.3. *Let* $X \sim RTUOMG(\alpha, \beta, p)$ *. Then, the rth inverted moment is* Δ

$$
\mu_r^* = 2\alpha (1 - p)B\left(1 - \frac{r}{\beta}, \alpha\right) {}_2F_1\left(\alpha + 1, 1 - \frac{r}{\beta}; 1 - \frac{r}{\beta} + \alpha; -1\right) + 4p\alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2k - 1}B\left(2k - \frac{r}{\beta}, \alpha\right) {}_2F_1\left(\alpha + 1, 2k - \frac{r}{\beta}; 2k - \frac{r}{\beta} + \alpha; -1\right)\right].
$$

2.6. Lorenz and Bonferroni curve

The Lorenz curve measure the uncertainty in data. It is defined in terms of the incomplete moment and mean as

$$
L(x) = \frac{\phi_1(z)}{\mathbb{E}(X)}.
$$

For the RTUOMG distribution, the expression of the Lorenz curve L can be obtained with the help of (2.12) and (2.13) .

The Bonferroni curve is obtained using the Lorenz curve as

$$
(2.16)\qquad \qquad B(x) = \frac{L(x)}{F_X(x)}.
$$

For the RTUOMG distribution, we can calculate $B(x)$ by values of the its component.

3. STOCHASTIC ORDERING AND ORDER STATISTICS

In distribution theory and statistics, a stochastic ordering quantifies the concept of one random variable being bigger than another. A random variable X is said to be smaller than a random variable Y if

| | | | | kurtosis (CK) for different values of parameters α , β , and p. | | | | | |
|----------|------------------|----------|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| β | \boldsymbol{p} | α | μ_1' | μ_2' | μ'_3 | μ'_4 | μ_2 | CS | CK |
| 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.50 | 0.5542510 | 0.4285096 | 0.3644273 | 0.3239216 | 0.1213154 | -0.1787491 | 1.5419750 |
| | | 1.25 | 0.2901651 | 0.1567514 | 0.1047541 | 0.0777581 | 0.0725556 | 0.8782454 | 2.6772931 |
| | | 2.00 | 0.1805073 | 0.0711336 | 0.0378631 | 0.0235777 | 0.0385507 | 1.4672175 | 4.6838320 |
| | | 2.75 | 0.1247350 | 0.0373275 | 0.0160948 | 0.0084739 | 0.0217687 | 1.8706299 | 6.7571180 |
| | | 3.50 | 0.0923828 | 0.0217244 | 0.0077187 | 0.0034674 | 0.0131898 | 2.1617793 | 8.6740660 |
| | | 4.25 | 0.0718269 | 0.0136545 | 0.0040625 | 0.0015718 | 0.0084954 | 2.3771614 | 10.355870 |
| | | 5.00 | 0.0578708 | 0.0091041 | 0.0023016 | 0.0007740 | 0.0057551 | 2.5393587 | 11.790823 |
| | | 5.75 | 0.0479085 | 0.0063591 | 0.0013840 | 0.0004081 | 0.0040639 | 2.6634355 | 12.997680 |
| | | 6.50 | 0.0405136 | 0.0046111 | 0.0008741 | 0.0002279 | 0.0029698 | 2.7597558 | 14.006293 |
| α | \mathcal{p} | β | | | | | | | |
| 1.5 | $0.5\,$ | 0.50 | 0.2310710 | 0.1156176 | 0.0734855 | 0.0524786 | 0.0622238 | 1.1605455 | 3.368963 |
| | | 1.25 | 0.4610186 | 0.2805956 | 0.1949608 | 0.1463780 | 0.0680574 | 0.1604957 | 1.978038 |
| | | 2.00 | 0.5881271 | 0.4001152 | 0.2959190 | 0.2310710 | 0.0542216 | -0.2517045 | 2.168528 |
| | | 2.75 | 0.6672679 | 0.4872244 | 0.3768347 | 0.3033692 | 0.0419780 | -0.4994430 | 2.523453 |
| | | 3.50 | 0.7210560 | 0.5528266 | 0.4420729 | 0.3645541 | 0.0329048 | -0.6698945 | 2.881894 |
| | | 4.25 | 0.7599340 | 0.6037974 | 0.4954208 | 0.4165299 | 0.0262978 | -0.7959642 | 3.211055 |
| | | 5.00 | 0.7893271 | 0.6444595 | 0.5397056 | 0.4610186 | 0.0214221 | -0.8936171 | 3.505502 |
| | | 5.75 | 0.8123212 | 0.6776175 | 0.5769850 | 0.4994245 | 0.0177518 | -0.9717678 | 3.767074 |
| | | 6.50 | 0.8307971 | 0.7051561 | 0.6087628 | 0.5328590 | 0.0149322 | -1.0358663 | 3.999452 |
| α | β | p | | | | | | | |
| 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3710223 | 0.2728817 | 0.2271800 | 0.1993273 | 0.1352242 | 0.5146700 | 1.679386 |
| | | 0.2 | 0.4031998 | 0.3038938 | 0.2565056 | 0.2271873 | 0.1413237 | 0.3766815 | 1.533736 |
| | | 0.3 | 0.4353719 | 0.3349045 | 0.2858282 | 0.2550473 | 0.1453558 | 0.2427470 | 1.437843 |
| | | 0.4 | 0.4675487 | 0.3659152 | 0.3151508 | 0.2829073 | 0.1473134 | 0.1116919 | 1.386651 |
| | | 0.5 | 0.4997255 | 0.3969259 | 0.3444733 | 0.3107672 | 0.1472004 | -0.0177101 | 1.377406 |
| | | 0.6 | 0.5319022 | 0.4279366 | 0.3737959 | 0.3386272 | 0.1450166 | -0.1465645 | 1.409213 |
| | | 0.7 | 0.5640815 | 0.4589473 | 0.4031185 | 0.3664872 | 0.1407593 | -0.2757944 | 1.482790 |
| | | 0.8 | 0.5962576 | 0.4899580 | 0.4324410 | 0.3943472 | 0.1344348 | -0.4060726 | 1.600227 |
| | | 0.9 | 0.6284332 | 0.5209687 | 0.4617636 | 0.4222072 | 0.1260404 | -0.5373839 | 1.764014 |

Table 1: Mean, variance, coefficient of skewness (CS), and coefficient of $k \in (CK)$ for different values

- 1. Stochastic order $X \leq_{st} Y$ if $F_X(x) \geq F_Y(x) \ \forall \ x,$
- 2. Hazard rate order $X \leq_{hr} Y$ if $h_X(x) \geq h_Y(x) \; \forall \; x$,
- 3. Mean residual life order $X \leq_{mrl} Y$ if $m_X(x) \geq m_Y(x) \forall x$,
- 4. Likelihood ratio order $X \leq_{lr} Y$ if $\frac{f_X(x)}{f_Y(x)}$ decreases in x.

Shaked and Shanthikumar (2007) discussed the following interconnections $X \leq_{lr} Y \Rightarrow X \leq_{hr} Y \Rightarrow X \leq_{mrl} Y \Rightarrow X \leq_{st} Y$. Next, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $X \sim RTUOMG(\alpha_1, \beta_1, p_1)$ and $Y \sim RTUOMG(\alpha_2, \beta_2, p_2)$ *. If* $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta, \text{ and } p_1 = p_2 = p, \text{ then } X \leq_{lr} Y. \text{ Hence, } X \leq_{hr} Y, X \leq_{mrl} Y \text{ and } Y$ $X \leq_{st} Y$.

Proof: We have

$$
\frac{f_X(x)}{f_Y(x)} = \frac{\frac{2\alpha_1\beta_1x^{\beta_1-1}}{1-x^{2\beta_1}}\left(\omega_1^{(-2\alpha_1,\beta_1)}(x)\right)\left\{1-p_1\left(1+\log\left(\omega_1^{(-2\alpha_1,\beta_1)}(x)\right)\right)\right\}}{\frac{2\alpha_2\beta_2x^{\beta_2-1}}{1-x^{2\beta_2}}\left(\omega_1^{(-2\alpha_2,\beta_2)}(x)\right)\left\{1-p_2\left(1+\log\left(\omega_1^{(-2\alpha_2,\beta_2)}(x)\right)\right)\right\}}.
$$

Figure 3: Graph of Skewness and Kurtosis for different parameters.

Taking log and differentiating both sides with respect to x , we get

$$
\frac{d}{dx}\log\left\{\frac{f_X(x)}{f_Y(x)}\right\} = \frac{\beta_1 - 1}{x} - \frac{\beta_2 - 1}{x} - \frac{2\alpha_1\beta_1 x^{\beta_1 - 1}}{1 - x^{2\beta_1}} + \frac{2\alpha_2\beta_2 x^{\beta_2 - 1}}{1 - x^{2\beta_2}} - \frac{2\beta_2 x^{2\beta_2 - 1}}{1 - x^{2\beta_2}} + \frac{2\beta_1 x^{2\beta_1 - 1}}{1 - x^{2\beta_1}} + \frac{\frac{2\alpha_1\beta_1 p_1 x^{\beta_1 - 1}}{1 - x^{2\beta_1}}}{\left(1 - p_1 \left(1 + \log\left(\frac{1 + x^{\beta_1}}{1 - x^{\beta_1}}\right)^{-\alpha_1}\right)\right)} - \frac{\frac{2\alpha_2\beta_2 p_2 x^{\beta_2 - 1}}{1 - x^{2\beta_2}}}{\left(1 - p_2 \left(1 + \log\left(\frac{1 + x^{\beta_2}}{1 - x^{\beta_2}}\right)^{-\alpha_2}\right)\right)}.
$$

.

Now, if $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta$, $p_1 = p_2 = p$, then (3.1) ϵ

$$
=\frac{2\beta x^{\beta-1}}{1-x^{2\beta}}(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)+\frac{2\beta px^{\beta-1}}{1-x^{2\beta}}\left\{\frac{\alpha_1}{\left\{1-p\left(1+\log\left(\frac{1+x^{\beta}}{1-x^{\beta}}\right)^{-\alpha_1}\right)\right\}}-\frac{\alpha_2}{\left\{1-p\left(1+\log\left(\frac{1+x^{\beta}}{1-x^{\beta}}\right)^{-\alpha_2}\right)\right\}}\right\}
$$

Now, we can see from equation (3.1), if $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta$, $p_1 = p_2 = p$, and $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$, then $\frac{d}{dx} \log \left\{ \frac{f_X(x)}{f_X(x)} \right\} < 0$ implies that $X \leq_{lr} Y$. Consequently, the other relations also holds. $\frac{d}{dx} \log \left\{ \frac{f_X(x)}{f_Y(x)} \right\}$ $f_Y(x)$ $\Big\} \leq 0$ implies that $X \leq_{lr} Y$. Consequently, the other relations also holds.

3.1. Order statistics

Let us consider a random sample X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n taken from the RTUOMG distribution, with corresponding order statistics $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \ldots, X_{(n)}$. The PDF of the rth order statistic $X_{(r)}$ (where $r = 1, 2, \ldots, n$) is given by

(3.2)
$$
f_{X_{(r)}}(x) = \frac{f(x)}{B(r, n-r+1)} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} {r-1 \choose i} (-1)^i (1 - F(x))^{n+i-r}.
$$

The CDF of r^{th} order statistics (X_r) are given by (see P. Singh and Dhar Das (2023))

$$
F_{X_{(r)}}(x) = \sum_{j=r}^{n} {n \choose j} F^{j}(x) [1 - F(x)]^{n-j}.
$$

This expression can also be written as

(3.3)
$$
F_{X_{(r)}}(x) = \sum_{j=r}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-j} {n \choose j} {n-j \choose l} (-1)^l F^{j+l}(x).
$$

The PDF and CDF of r^{th} order statistics of RTUOMG distribution are respectively, given as

$$
(3.4)
$$

$$
f_{X_{(r)}}(x) = \frac{f(x)}{B(r, n-r+1)} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} {r-1 \choose i} (-1)^i \left[\omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x) - p\omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x) \log \left\{ \omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x) \right\} \right]^{n+i-r}
$$

and

(3.5)

$$
F_{X_{(r)}}(x) = \sum_{j=r}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-j} {n \choose j} {n-j \choose l} (-1)^l \left[1 - \omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x) + p\omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x) \log \left\{\omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x)\right\}\right]^{j+l}.
$$

In addition, the PDFs of the smallest and largest order statistics are

$$
f_{X_{(1)}}(x) = n f(x) \left[\omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x) - p \omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x) \log \left\{ \omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x) \right\} \right]^{n-1}
$$

and

$$
f_{X_{(n)}}(x) = n f(x) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} {n-1 \choose i} (-1)^i \left[\omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x) - p \omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x) \log \left\{ \omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(x) \right\} \right]^i,
$$

respectively.

3.2. Record statistics

Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be a sequence of random variables from the RTUOMG distribution, and let U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n and L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_n be the first n upper and lower record statistics, respectively, observed from the sequence X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n . Then, the PDF of n^{th} upper (U_n) and lower (L_n) record statistic are respectively, given by (see Sakthivel and Nandhini (2022))

(3.6)
$$
f_{U_n}(u_n) = \frac{(-\log[1 - F(u_n)])^{(n-1)}}{(n-1)!} f(u_n), \quad u_n > 0
$$

and

(3.7)
$$
f_{L_n}(l_n) = \frac{(-\log[F(l_n)])^{(n-1)}}{(n-1)!} f(l_n), \quad l_n > 0.
$$

Furthermore, by substituting the CDF of the RTUOMG distribution, we get

$$
f_{U_n}(u_n) = \frac{\left(-\log\left[\omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(u_n) - p\omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(u_n)\log\omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(u_n)\right]\right)^{(n-1)}}{(n-1)!} f(u_n), \quad u_n > 0
$$

and

$$
f_{L_n}(l_n) = \frac{\left(-\log\left[1 - \omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(l_n) + p\omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(l_n)\log\omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(l_n)\right]\right)^{(n-1)}}{(n-1)!} f(l_n), \quad l_n > 0.
$$

Moreover, the joint PDF of first n upper records $\mathbf{R} = (R_1, R_2, \dots R_n)$ is given by

$$
f_R(r) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} h(r_j) f(r_n).
$$

Hence,

$$
f_R(r) = \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{2\alpha\beta r_j^{\beta-1}}{1-r_j^{2\beta}} \right) \left[\frac{1-p-p\log\omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(r_j)}{1+p\log\omega_1^{(-2\alpha,\beta)}(r_j)} \right] \right\} f(r_n),
$$

where $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n)$ denotes the observed value of $\mathbf{R} = (R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_n)$ with $r_1 < r_2$ \ldots < r_n , and $f(.)$ denotes the pdf in equation (2.5).

4. PARAMETERS ESTIMATION

This section examines the estimation of unknown parameters of RTUOM $G(\alpha, \beta, p)$ distribution. Several methods of point estimation such as maximum likelihood (ML), ordinary least square (OLS), weighted least square (WLS), Cramér-von Mises (CvM), and Anderson Darling (AD) are applied to calculate the estimators for unknown parameters of the proposed distribution.

4.1. Maximum likelihood (ML) estimation

Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be a random sample of size n taken from the RTUOMG (α, β, p) distribution. Then, the log-likelihood function can be written as

$$
L(\alpha, \beta, p|\mathbf{x}) = n \log 2\alpha + n \log \beta + (\beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 - x_i^{2\beta}) - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(\frac{1 + x_i^{\beta}}{1 - x_i^{\beta}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left\{ 1 - p + p\alpha \log \left(\frac{1 + x_i^{\beta}}{1 - x_i^{\beta}} \right) \right\},
$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. The maximum likelihood (ML) estimators, $\hat{\alpha}_{ML}, \hat{\beta}_{ML}$, and $\hat{\beta}_{ML}$ of α, β , and p are calculated by simultaneously solving the following non-linear equations.

$$
\frac{\partial L(\alpha, \beta, p | \mathbf{x})}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{n}{\alpha} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(\frac{1 + x_i^{\beta}}{1 - x_i^{\beta}} \right) + p \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\log \left(\frac{1 + x_i^{\beta}}{1 - x_i^{\beta}} \right)}{1 - p + p \alpha \log \left(\frac{1 + x_i^{\beta}}{1 - x_i^{\beta}} \right)} \right] = 0,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial L(\alpha, \beta, p | \mathbf{x})}{\partial \beta} = \frac{n}{\beta} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_i + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i^{2\beta} \log x_i}{1 - x_i^{2\beta}} - 2\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i^{\beta} \log x_i}{1 - x_i^{2\beta}}
$$
\n(4.1)\n
$$
+ 2p\alpha \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[\frac{\frac{x_i^{\beta} \log x_i}{1 - x_i^{2\beta}}}{1 - p + p\alpha \log \left(\frac{1 + x_i^{\beta}}{1 - x_i^{\beta}} \right)} \right] = 0,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial L(\alpha, \beta, p | \mathbf{x})}{\partial p} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\alpha \log \left(\frac{1 + x_i^{\beta}}{1 - x_i^{\beta}} \right) - 1}{1 - p + p\alpha \log \left(\frac{1 + x_i^{\beta}}{1 - x_i^{\beta}} \right)} \right] = 0.
$$

There are several numerical techniques such as Broyden-Flecther-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) and Nelder-Mead (NM) that can be use to solve the above non-linear equations obtained in (4.1). These techniques can be easily applied using optim() function in R-programming.

4.2. Ordinary least squares (OLS) and weighted least squares (WLS) estimation:

It is common practice to estimate the distribution parameters using the relationship between the empirical cumulative distribution function and order statistics. These ideas form the foundation of the weighted least squares and ordinary least squares estimation concepts. Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from the distribution $F(x)$. Then, the empirical distribution function is defined as

$$
F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i \le x),
$$

where I denotes the indicator function. According to Swain et al. (1988), the OLS estimators of unknown parameters can be obtained by minimizing the sum of squares differences between

the vector of uniformized order statistics and the corresponding vector of expected values. Let $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \ldots, X_{(n)}$ denote the order statistics of a random sample X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n of size n taken from RTUOMG distribution. Then, the mean and variance of the transformed variable $F(X_{(i)})$ are as follows

$$
E(F(X_{(i)})) = \frac{i}{n+1}; i = 1, 2, \dots, n
$$

and

$$
V(F(X_{(i)})) = \frac{i(n-i+1)}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}; i = 1, 2, \dots, n.
$$

Based on the mean and variance of $F(X_{(i)})$, we can employ the following two methods of least squares estimation.

Ordinary least squares (OLS) estimation: The OLS estimators, $\hat{\alpha}_{OLS}$, $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$, and \hat{p}_{OLS} of RTUOMG (α, β, p) distribution can be obtained by minimizing the following equation

(4.2)
$$
Z(\alpha, \beta, p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(F(X_{(i)}) - \frac{i}{n+1} \right)^2.
$$

By considering the distribution function given in equation (2.4) and equation (4.2) , we can derive the following three non-linear equations by taking partial derivatives with respect to parameters α , β , and p

(4.3)
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} Z(\alpha, \beta, p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial F(X_i, \alpha, \beta, p)}{\partial \alpha} \right) \left(F(X_{(i)}) - \frac{i}{n+1} \right) = 0,
$$

(4.4)
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} Z(\alpha, \beta, p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial F(X_i, \alpha, \beta, p)}{\partial \beta} \right) \left(F(X_{(i)}) - \frac{i}{n+1} \right) = 0,
$$

(4.5)
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial p}Z(\alpha,\beta,p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial F(X_i,\alpha,\beta,p)}{\partial p}\right) \left(F(X_{(i)}) - \frac{i}{n+1}\right) = 0.
$$

These equations capture the relationship between the observed data and the parameters of interest. The solution of equations (4.3)-(4.5) can be obtained by using a non-linear equation solver technique such as Broyden-Flecther-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) and Nelder-Mead (NM) technique etc.

Weighted least squares (WLS) estimation: The WLS estimator follows a similar procedure to the OLS estimator, where the objective is to minimize the weighted sum of squares differences. The WLS estimators, $\hat{\alpha}_{WLS}$, $\hat{\beta}_{WLS}$, and \hat{p}_{WLS} for the unknown parameters α , β , and p can be computed by minimizing

$$
W(\alpha, \beta, p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i \left(F(X_{(i)}) - \frac{i}{n+1} \right)^2,
$$

with respect to the unknown parameters. This function is minimized by adjusting the values of α , β , and p such that the sum of squared differences between the observed values $F(X_{(i)})$ and the corresponding expected values $\frac{i}{n+1}$ is minimized through weight factor η_i . The factor η_i is derived from the inverse of the variance of $F(X_{(i)})$ and depends on the specific distribution being considered.

4.3. Cramér-von Mises (CvM) estimation:

Cramér-von Mises (CvM) estimator is one type of goodness-of-fit estimator and based on Cram´er-von Mises statistics (see Dey et al. (2018)). This estimator is basically based on the difference between the empirical distribution function and the theoretical distribution function. Therefore, the CvM estimators, $\hat{\alpha}_{CvM}$, $\hat{\beta}_{CvM}$, and \hat{p}_{CvM} of unknown parameters can be obtained by minimizing the following equation with respect to parameters α, β , and p

$$
C(\alpha, \beta, p) = \frac{1}{12n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(F(X_{(i)}) - \frac{2i - 1}{2n} \right)^2.
$$

4.4. Anderson-Darling (AD) estimation:

Anderson and Darling (1952) suggested an estimator based on Anderson-Darling statistic which minimizes the Anderson-Darling distance between the empirical and theoretical distribution function. The AD estimators, $\hat{\alpha}_{AD}$, $\hat{\beta}_{AD}$, and \hat{p}_{AD} of unknown parameters can be computed by minimizing the following equation with respect to parameters α, β , and p

$$
Q(\alpha, \beta, p) = -n - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (2i - 1) \left[\log F(X_{(i)}) + \log \bar{F}(X_{(n+1-i)}) \right],
$$

where $F(x)=1 - F(x)$ denotes the SF of RTUOMG distribution. For more details of this technique, one may refer to Boos (1982) and Arshad et al. (2024).

5. SIMULATION STUDY

In this section, a well-organized Monte Carlo simulation study is carried out to evaluate the performance of ML, OLS, WLS, CvM, and AD estimators of unknown parameters of proposed RTUOM $G(\alpha, \beta, p)$ distribution. A well known Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) technique introduced by Broyden (1970), Fletcher (1970), Goldfarb (1970), and Shanno (1970) is used to obtain the estimates of population parameters α, β , and p. This optimization is performed using $\text{optim}(\cdot)$ function which involves the BFGS technique. For a detailed information about this algorithm, one can refer to 'stats' package of R (version 4.2.1) programming library. In present simulation, we generate the data of different sample sizes (n) such as 25, 50, 100, 150, 200, and 250 with different combinations of parameter values (α, β, p) using inverse cumulative distribution function method. The absolute biases and mean squared errors (MSEs) of considered estimators are calculated using 500 repetitions for each sample sizes. The functional form of these measures are as follows; absolute bias= $N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |(\hat{\eta}_i - \eta)|$, sizes. The functional form of these measures are as follows; absolute bias= $N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |(\hat{\eta}_i - \eta)|$,
mean squared errors (MSEs)= $N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\hat{\eta}_i - \eta)^2$, where $\eta = (\alpha, \beta, p)$ is the true value of
the parameter $\hat{\$ the parameter, $\hat{\eta}_i = (\hat{\alpha}_i, \hat{\beta}_i, \hat{p}_i)$ is the estimated value of the parameter η for the *i*th repeated sample, and N be the number of repeated samples. The main findings of this simulation study are reported in Table 2-5. Table 2 presents the absolute biases and MSEs of the estimators for

fix settings of parameters $\eta = (0.3, 0.4, 0.8)$. From Table 2, it can be observe that the absolute biases and MSEs of all the considered estimators are decreases as the sample sizes increases. Therefore, we can say that all considered estimators are consistent. The similar performance of considered estimators are obtained in case of other settings of parameter values. One more observation, we analyze that the ML estimation technique performs well at the estimation of small setting of parameters i.e. $\forall \alpha, \beta, p \in (0,1)$ while AD estimation technique works better for the estimation of $\alpha \geq 1$ and β , $p \in (0,1)$. Moreover, in case of $\beta \geq 1$ and α , $p \in (0,1)$ or $\alpha, \beta \ge 1$ and $p \in (0, 0.5)$, AD estimation technique works better for the estimation of α , β and ML technique performs better to estimate the parameter p in terms of absolute bias and MSEs. The CvM technique works worse in all situations. Based on the results of the simulation study, we recommended the maximum likelihood (ML), Anderson-Darling (AD), and weighted least squares (WLS) estimation technique for the estimation of parameters of the proposed distribution.

| $N = 500$ | \downarrow Sample | | Absolute Bias | | | MSE | |
|------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Estimators | (n) | $\hat{\alpha}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | \hat{p} | $\hat{\alpha}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | \hat{p} |
| ML | 25 | 0.0575402 | 0.5636601 | 0.3744900 | 0.0051212 | 0.7029732 | 0.2106772 |
| | $50\,$ | 0.0460293 | 0.4086689 | 0.3399031 | 0.0033725 | 0.2802979 | 0.1763369 |
| | 100 | 0.0360543 | 0.3303454 | 0.2885562 | 0.0021142 | 0.1552839 | 0.1273787 |
| | 150 | 0.0303397 | 0.3070048 | 0.2578554 | 0.0015002 | 0.1224424 | 0.0988758 |
| | 200 | 0.0262740 | 0.3058071 | 0.2467511 | 0.0010812 | 0.1132115 | 0.0823941 |
| | 250 | 0.0229363 | 0.3081646 | 0.2442920 | 0.0007905 | 0.1094451 | 0.0744624 |
| OLS | 25 | 0.0684853 | 0.3996601 | 0.4082226 | 0.0071143 | 0.4539321 | 0.2519106 |
| | $50\,$ | 0.0579523 | 0.3564157 | 0.3997664 | 0.0051239 | 0.2856817 | 0.2362091 |
| | 100 | 0.0425999 | 0.2906173 | 0.3190678 | 0.0030105 | 0.1527906 | 0.1524894 |
| | 150 | 0.0334161 | 0.2740858 | 0.2768188 | 0.0018788 | 0.1192181 | 0.1120023 |
| | 200 | 0.0278311 | 0.2632146 | 0.2493752 | 0.0013178 | 0.1026786 | 0.0881649 |
| | 250 | 0.0242371 | 0.2575848 | 0.2375982 | 0.0009229 | 0.0908743 | 0.0742529 |
| WLS | 25 | 0.0669899 | 0.4007772 | 0.4041653 | 0.0067799 | 0.4072548 | 0.2491423 |
| | $50\,$ | 0.0541343 | 0.3419191 | 0.3724363 | 0.0045822 | 0.2584859 | 0.2145772 |
| | 100 | 0.0402377 | 0.2854043 | 0.3030421 | 0.0027186 | 0.1350776 | 0.1414393 |
| | 150 | 0.0312444 | 0.2712038 | 0.2613837 | 0.0016816 | 0.1098474 | 0.1024181 |
| | 200 | 0.0255624 | 0.2651793 | 0.2359697 | 0.0010919 | 0.0973379 | 0.0782198 |
| | 250 | 0.0223360 | 0.2699729 | 0.2281872 | 0.0007785 | 0.0926324 | 0.0681823 |
| CvM | 25 | 0.0636285 | 0.5163060 | 0.3927234 | 0.0063881 | 0.7009315 | 0.2354711 |
| | $50\,$ | 0.0542338 | 0.4067139 | 0.3903516 | 0.0045268 | 0.3618528 | 0.2260682 |
| | 100 | 0.0402142 | 0.3101414 | 0.3128003 | 0.0026977 | 0.1739882 | 0.1457470 |
| | 150 | 0.0315371 | 0.2878147 | 0.2728989 | 0.0016897 | 0.1308638 | 0.1080854 |
| | 200 | 0.0264091 | 0.2739417 | 0.2463895 | 0.0012001 | 0.1104116 | 0.0858663 |
| | 250 | 0.0230677 | 0.2661871 | 0.2354335 | 0.0008426 | 0.0966527 | 0.0726858 |
| AD | 25 | 0.0610353 | 0.4128566 | 0.3822879 | 0.0057994 | 0.4160725 | 0.2267281 |
| | 50 | 0.0506099 | 0.3458641 | 0.3624216 | 0.0041280 | 0.2184971 | 0.2018953 |
| | 100 | 0.0387137 | 0.2924843 | 0.2995147 | 0.0024893 | 0.1366311 | 0.1349263 |
| | 150 | 0.0305515 | 0.2820945 | 0.2624722 | 0.0015762 | 0.1141870 | 0.1003933 |
| | 200 | 0.0254312 | 0.2755060 | 0.2394694 | 0.0010539 | 0.1018982 | 0.0786142 |
| | 250 | 0.0221263 | 0.2774801 | 0.2309920 | 0.0007644 | 0.0963062 | 0.0690289 |

Table 2: Absolute biases and MSEs of the estimators for settings of parameters $\eta = (0.3, 0.4, 0.8)$.

Table 3: Absolute biases and MSEs of the estimators for settings of parameters $\eta = (1.5, 0.4, 0.8).$

| $N=500$ | \downarrow Sample | | Absolute Bias | | | MSE | |
|------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Estimators | (n) | $\hat{\alpha}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | \hat{p} | $\hat{\alpha}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | \hat{p} |
| ML | 25 | 0.3497333 | 0.2236051 | 0.5125836 | 0.19385894 | 0.06425815 | 0.3318941 |
| | 50 | 0.2597831 | 0.1979366 | 0.4998509 | 0.09421299 | 0.04653150 | 0.3204776 |
| | 100 | 0.2012286 | 0.1674090 | 0.4492008 | 0.05820005 | 0.03379105 | 0.2773317 |
| | 150 | 0.1763568 | 0.1426037 | 0.3938933 | 0.04577178 | 0.02613773 | 0.2301613 |
| | 200 | 0.1439343 | 0.1229506 | 0.3423611 | 0.03364347 | 0.02047264 | 0.1833536 |
| | 250 | 0.1175313 | 0.1062177 | 0.3001589 | 0.02341726 | 0.01611237 | 0.1463373 |
| OLS | $25\,$ | 0.4566141 | 0.1802872 | 0.7527976 | 0.26447902 | 0.05098879 | 0.5877450 |
| | 50 | 0.4078215 | 0.1784580 | 0.7482363 | 0.20304339 | 0.04229995 | 0.5834461 |
| | 100 | 0.3974076 | 0.1773041 | 0.7411818 | 0.18009355 | 0.03623652 | 0.5748995 |
| | 150 | 0.3867420 | 0.1744949 | 0.7320833 | 0.16853860 | 0.03349793 | 0.5649600 |
| | 200 | 0.3831334 | 0.1725529 | 0.7240970 | 0.16285928 | 0.03189547 | 0.5550598 |
| | 250 | 0.3961742 | 0.1784412 | 0.7531013 | 0.16773712 | 0.03318493 | 0.5836874 |
| WLS | $25\,$ | 0.3860936 | 0.1761375 | 0.6553208 | 0.21006981 | 0.04876736 | 0.5005673 |
| | 50 | 0.3308242 | 0.1740738 | 0.6410506 | 0.14698090 | 0.03912981 | 0.4807757 |
| | 100 | 0.3087392 | 0.1753061 | 0.6455267 | 0.12307668 | 0.03483763 | 0.4748319 |
| | 150 | 0.3066162 | 0.1774102 | 0.6589561 | 0.11804590 | 0.03419037 | 0.4836404 |
| | 200 | 0.3157362 | 0.1813900 | 0.6782137 | 0.12059840 | 0.03468639 | 0.4995112 |
| | 250 | 0.3336347 | 0.1888402 | 0.7200899 | 0.12782737 | 0.03683236 | 0.5432789 |
| CvM | 25 | 0.4082337 | 0.2211056 | 0.7438294 | 0.23055472 | 0.07281502 | 0.5790463 |
| | 50 | 0.3582042 | 0.1981327 | 0.7386132 | 0.16498067 | 0.05151793 | 0.5736056 |
| | 100 | 0.3711385 | 0.1861582 | 0.7329617 | 0.16097120 | 0.03948357 | 0.5664762 |
| | 150 | 0.3794644 | 0.1830302 | 0.7413456 | 0.16140139 | 0.03630204 | 0.5745171 |
| | 200 | 0.3780736 | 0.1792293 | 0.7321075 | 0.15716656 | 0.03411290 | 0.5631307 |
| | 250 | 0.3880864 | 0.1830108 | 0.7549924 | 0.16130300 | 0.03469556 | 0.5851894 |
| AD | $25\,$ | 0.2909188 | 0.1619203 | 0.4006342 | 0.14709415 | 0.04011143 | 0.2089489 |
| | 50 | 0.2072535 | 0.1499430 | 0.4088768 | 0.06392817 | 0.03124702 | 0.2141149 |
| | 100 | 0.1627509 | 0.1383655 | 0.3970670 | 0.03965682 | 0.02430970 | 0.2038295 |
| | 150 | 0.1396941 | 0.1368092 | 0.4086397 | 0.02952598 | 0.02346276 | 0.2107771 |
| | 200 | 0.1340009 | 0.1400162 | 0.4203310 | 0.02649028 | 0.02333142 | 0.2161384 |
| | 250 | 0.1246115 | 0.1459661 | 0.4383566 | 0.02279590 | 0.02426058 | 0.2238062 |

| $N = 500$ | \downarrow Sample | | Absolute Bias | | | MSE | |
|--------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Estimators | (n) | $\hat{\alpha}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | \hat{p} | $\hat{\alpha}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | \hat{p} |
| ML | 25 | 0.1120347 | 0.4778450 | 0.3510794 | 0.0192453 | 0.4553152 | 0.1653206 |
| | 50 | 0.0882323 | 0.4759241 | 0.3538716 | 0.0115647 | 0.3755231 | 0.1699393 |
| | 100 | 0.0730091 | 0.4901289 | 0.3268296 | 0.0082510 | 0.3552174 | 0.1474419 |
| | 150 | 0.0644513 | 0.5374551 | 0.3064261 | 0.0065860 | 0.3798693 | 0.1310115 |
| | 200 | 0.0584768 | 0.5666936 | 0.2965667 | 0.0053447 | 0.3882113 | 0.1181616 |
| | 250 | 0.0520851 | 0.6055517 | 0.2937361 | 0.0041196 | 0.4195092 | 0.1085803 |
| OLS | $25\,$ | 0.1169415 | 0.5490052 | 0.3767365 | 0.0207611 | 0.5735533 | 0.1939783 |
| | 50 | 0.0955362 | 0.4938152 | ${ 0.3775485}$ | 0.0136563 | 0.3935783 | 0.1906785 |
| | 100 | 0.0742208 | 0.4622377 | 0.3252509 | 0.0087476 | 0.3337550 | 0.1456954 |
| | 150 | 0.0597227 | 0.4525198 | 0.2898479 | 0.0059388 | 0.2942829 | 0.1148964 |
| | 200 | 0.0489766 | 0.4411952 | 0.2581814 | 0.0041646 | 0.2647838 | 0.0910280 |
| | 250 | 0.0439092 | 0.4310606 | 0.2446191 | 0.0032173 | 0.2441803 | 0.0794551 |
| WLS | 25 | 0.1137814 | 0.5097649 | 0.3640515 | 0.0199774 | 0.4917461 | 0.1856189 |
| | $50\,$ | 0.0897559 | 0.4689411 | 0.3441709 | 0.0123696 | 0.3905042 | 0.1703281 |
| | 100 | 0.0703048 | 0.4475743 | 0.3138069 | 0.0079280 | 0.2959510 | 0.1348477 |
| | 150 | 0.0572977 | 0.4514203 | 0.2805834 | 0.0056517 | 0.2817592 | 0.1106532 |
| | 200 | 0.0467773 | 0.4539823 | 0.2526273 | 0.0037037 | 0.2667118 | 0.0868828 |
| | 250 | 0.0408495 | 0.4603980 | 0.2358324 | 0.0027702 | 0.2676532 | 0.0752283 |
| \mbox{CvM} | $25\,$ | 0.1153831 | 0.5903472 | 0.3593871 | 0.0212673 | 0.7178636 | 0.1758703 |
| | 50 | 0.0933515 | 0.5122568 | 0.3659089 | 0.0129054 | 0.4440887 | 0.1778830 |
| | 100 | 0.0710206 | 0.4625675 | 0.3228048 | 0.0080142 | 0.3361106 | 0.1407267 |
| | 150 | 0.0573306 | 0.4648073 | 0.2886636 | 0.0054565 | 0.3091565 | 0.1126145 |
| | 200 | 0.0471177 | 0.4544770 | 0.2583327 | 0.0038578 | 0.2761777 | 0.0900179 |
| | 250 | 0.0420647 | 0.4416537 | 0.2438570 | 0.0029645 | 0.2553325 | 0.0782985 |
| AD | 25 | 0.1082465 | 0.4530197 | 0.3458671 | 0.0182808 | 0.3655762 | 0.1621560 |
| | 50 | 0.0881673 | 0.4225620 | 0.3487991 | 0.0118716 | 0.2853728 | 0.1611526 |
| | 100 | 0.0696419 | 0.4106469 | 0.3230672 | 0.0077281 | 0.2279476 | 0.1324356 |
| | 150 | 0.0562887 | 0.4263870 | 0.3067596 | 0.0053736 | 0.2224153 | 0.1148221 |
| | 200 | 0.0489789 | 0.4455409 | 0.2952685 | 0.0040292 | 0.2305750 | 0.1036650 |
| | 250 | 0.0434670 | 0.4665140 | 0.2900217 | 0.0030678 | 0.2463197 | 0.0952949 |

Table 4: Absolute biases and MSEs of the estimators for setting of parameters $\eta = (0.5, 1.4, 0.7)$.

Table 5: Absolute biases and MSEs of the estimators for setting of parameters $\eta = (2.5, 3, 0.2)$.

| $N = 500$ | \downarrow Sample | | (2.0, 0, 0.2) Absolute Bias | | | MSE | |
|------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Estimators | (n) | $\hat{\alpha}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | \hat{p} | $\hat{\alpha}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | \hat{p} |
| ML | 25 | 0.8061627 | 0.4544024 | 0.1484790 | 1.4487436 | 0.3635223 | 0.0359888 |
| | $50\,$ | 0.4895446 | 0.3169428 | 0.1301799 | 0.4510390 | 0.1720310 | 0.0260875 |
| | 100 | 0.3099033 | 0.2278899 | 0.1101981 | 0.1644552 | 0.0861656 | 0.0161722 |
| | 150 | 0.2312480 | 0.1948946 | 0.1026410 | 0.0930790 | 0.0607583 | 0.0125469 |
| | 200 | 0.1849125 | 0.1793090 | 0.0922127 | 0.0583091 | 0.0469182 | 0.0093837 |
| | 250 | 0.1519173 | 0.1785981 | 0.0936273 | 0.0380139 | 0.0435949 | 0.0093413 |
| OLS | 25 | 0.6721036 | 0.5160953 | 0.1965019 | 0.9517571 | 0.4045551 | 0.0566855 |
| | $50\,$ | 0.4528296 | 0.3629825 | 0.1719837 | 0.3543042 | 0.2104053 | 0.0432459 |
| | 100 | 0.2923195 | 0.2512083 | 0.1513627 | 0.1444090 | 0.0976153 | 0.0327181 |
| | 150 | 0.2309553 | 0.1999917 | 0.1387837 | 0.0850821 | 0.0607940 | 0.0274345 |
| | 200 | 0.1967073 | 0.1628344 | 0.1302848 | 0.0587973 | 0.0396424 | 0.0227732 |
| | 250 | 0.1877359 | 0.1322615 | 0.1191776 | 0.0522507 | 0.0278735 | 0.0187148 |
| WLS | $25\,$ | 0.6634569 | 0.4632552 | 0.2016422 | 0.8629090 | 0.3438677 | 0.0626860 |
| | $50\,$ | 0.4572236 | 0.3234613 | 0.1733783 | 0.3559206 | 0.1814369 | 0.0474915 |
| | 100 | 0.3155410 | 0.2245553 | 0.1436479 | 0.1673690 | 0.0811050 | 0.0315608 |
| | 150 | 0.2360105 | 0.1821378 | 0.1233989 | 0.0900462 | 0.0535859 | 0.0214425 |
| | 200 | 0.2038839 | 0.1476566 | 0.1091343 | 0.0651935 | 0.0329917 | 0.0147964 |
| | 250 | 0.1805628 | 0.1366309 | 0.1015546 | 0.0500695 | 0.0285151 | 0.0120991 |
| CvM | 25 | 0.8235136 | 0.4981632 | 0.1845208 | 1.6105885 | 0.4277520 | 0.0491581 |
| | $50\,$ | 0.4949517 | 0.3507950 | 0.1657580 | 0.4490723 | 0.2131639 | 0.0397025 |
| | 100 | 0.3015803 | 0.2441061 | 0.1494132 | 0.1613265 | 0.0950858 | 0.0316030 |
| | $150\,$ | 0.2275366 | 0.1979540 | 0.1366198 | 0.0856153 | 0.0597272 | 0.0261653 |
| | 200 | 0.1919231 | 0.1591142 | 0.1271712 | 0.0570228 | 0.0378875 | 0.0212320 |
| | 250 | 0.1851113 | 0.1315436 | 0.1184449 | 0.0511737 | 0.0274468 | 0.0182570 |
| AD | 25 | 0.6703474 | 0.4422730 | 0.1777463 | 0.9582408 | 0.3274166 | 0.0476750 |
| | 50 | 0.4453855 | 0.3134355 | 0.1599620 | 0.3374060 | 0.1693089 | 0.0382549 |
| | 100 | 0.3046488 | 0.2203649 | 0.1362785 | 0.1534672 | 0.0796048 | 0.0256305 |
| | 150 | 0.2329083 | 0.1824005 | 0.1257978 | 0.0882921 | 0.0528154 | 0.0203533 |
| | 200 | 0.1950100 | 0.1504189 | 0.1149436 | 0.0590851 | 0.0343346 | 0.0154094 |
| | 250 | 0.1768263 | 0.1350550 | 0.1087862 | 0.0466696 | 0.0284675 | 0.0129945 |

6. DATA ANALYSIS

In this section, we have analyzed two different datasets to assess the usefulness of proposed distribution in modeling real life data. Considered data set are also fitted to some well known distributions such as unit omega (UOMG) Prataviera and Cordeiro (2024), complementary unit gompertz (CUG), complementary unit lomax (CUL) Guerra et al. (2021), and unit weibull (UW) distribution Mazucheli et al. (2020). The probability density and distribution functions of these fitted distributions are presented in Table 6. First of all, we have

| | tributions. | |
|--------------|---|--|
| Distribution | Density Function | Distribution Function |
| UOMG | $\frac{2\alpha\beta x^{\beta-1}}{(1-x^{2\beta})}\left(\frac{1+x^{\beta}}{1-x^{\beta}}\right)^{\alpha}$ | $1 - \left(\frac{1+x^{\beta}}{1-x^{\beta}}\right)^{-\alpha}; \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, x \in (0,1)$ |
| CUG | | $\frac{\beta \log 2}{(1-\mu)^{-\beta}-1}(1-x)^{-(\beta+1)}2^{\left(\frac{(1-x)^{-\beta}-1}{1-(1-\mu)^{-\beta}}\right)} \qquad 1-2^{\left(\frac{(1-x)^{-\beta}-1}{1-(1-\mu)^{-\beta}}\right)}; \ \beta>0, \ \mu \in (0,1), \ x \in (0,1)$ |
| CUL. | $\frac{\log 2}{\beta(1-x)} \left[\log \left(1 - \beta^{-1} \log(1-\mu) \right) \right]^{-1}$ $\times \left[1-\beta^{-1}\log(1-x)\right]\left(\frac{-\log 2}{\log[1-\beta^{-1}\log(1-\mu)]}\right)-1$ | $1 - \left[1 - \beta^{-1} \log(1-x)\right] \left(\frac{-\log 2}{\log[1-\beta^{-1} \log(1-\mu)]}\right).$ $\beta > 0, \mu \in (0,1), x \in (0,1)$ |
| UW | $\frac{1}{x}\alpha\beta(-\log x)^{\beta-1}e^{-\alpha(-\log x)^{\beta}}$ | $e^{-\alpha(-\log x)^{\beta}}$; $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $x \in (0,1)$ |

Table 6: Probability density and distribution function of considered dis-

performed the exploratory data analysis and obtain the MLEs of the RTUOMG distribution with four other taken distributions. Then, we have done a comparative study of RTUOMG distribution with UOMG, CUG, CUL, and UW distribution. To determine the performance and appropriateness of fitted distributions, we use some selection statistics such as the value of -2log-likelihood function of fitted distribution, Akaike's information criteria (AIC) of fitted model and some goodness of fit test statistics; Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS), Cramer von Mises (CvM), and Anderson-Darling (AD) along with their p-values. The goodness of fit statistic with smaller value and highest p-value gives the better fit of distribution. The functional form of these measures and their performing algorithm are easily available in R-programming library.

Data Analysis 1: Here, we consider a bladder cancer data (see Lee and Wang (2003)) about the remission times (in months) of 128 patients. To avoid the biased results, first, we have performed the outlier analysis using boxplot technique and extract one extreme observation 79.05* from data set. Since, the aim of this analysis is to verify the usefulness of proposed distribution with support $(0, 1)$. Therefore, the final data set of 127 observations divided by 100 are as follows; {0.0008, 0.0209, 0.0348, 0.0487, 0.0694, 0.0866, 0.1311, 0.2363, 0.0020, 0.0223, 0.0352, 0.0498, 0.0697, 0.0902, 0.1329, 0.0040, 0.0226, 0.0357, 0.0506, 0.0709, 0.0922, 0.1380, 0.2574, 0.0050, 0.0246, 0.0364, 0.0509, 0.0726, 0.0947, 0.1424, 0.2582, 0.0051, 0.0254, 0.0370, 0.0517, 0.0728, 0.0974, 0.1476, 0.2631, 0.0081, 0.0262, 0.0382, 0.0532, 0.0732, 0.1006, 0.1477, 0.3215, 0.0264, 0.0388, 0.0532, 0.0739, 0.1034, 0.1483, 0.3426, 0.0090, 0.0269, 0.0418, 0.0534, 0.0759, 0.1066, 0.1596, 0.3666, 0.0105, 0.0269, 0.0423, 0.0541, 0.0762, 0.1075, 0.1662, 0.4301, 0.0119, 0.0275, 0.0426, 0.0541, 0.0763, 0.1712, 0.4612, 0.0126, 0.0283, 0.0433, 0.0549,

0.0766, 0.1125, 0.1714, 0.0135, 0.0287, 0.0562, 0.0787, 0.1164, 0.1736, 0.0140, 0.0302, 0.0434, 0.0571, 0.0793, 0.1179, 0.1810, 0.0146, 0.0440, 0.0585, 0.0826, 0.1198, 0.1913, 0.0176, 0.0325, 0.0450, 0.0625, 0.0837, 0.1202, 0.0202, 0.0331, 0.0451, 0.0654, 0.0853, 0.1203, 0.2028, 0.0202, 0.0336, 0.0676, 0.1207, 0.2173, 0.0207, 0.0336, 0.0693, 0.0865, 0.1263, 0.2269}. The basic information of bladder cancer data are presented in Table 7. The reported value of skewness and kurtosis indicate that data is positively skewed with high kurtosis. For modelling this positive skewed and high kurtosis data a distribution defined on support (0,1) is needed.

We have fit the RTUOMG distribution with four other considered distributions for this

Figure 4: Fitted CDFs and PDFs for bladder cancer data.

data set and calculated MLEs with their standard errors are reported in Table 8. As well as, the fitted empirical and theoretical CDFs and PDFs plots using MLEs are displayed in Figure 4. These fitted figures reveals a good fit of bladder cancer data set with RTUOMG distribution. Further, we have evaluated the values of $-2 \log L$ and $AIC = 2k-2 \log L$, where k is the number of parameters and L denotes the maximized value of the likelihood function. To analyze the appropriateness of RTUOMG distribution, three other selection statistics, namely, KS, CvM, and AD along with their corresponding p-values are also calculated and reported in Table 9. The smallest value of AIC and goodness of fit statistics (with their high p-value) supports the best fit of RTUOMG distribution among other considered distributions.

Data Analysis 2: Here, we consider a failure times (in weeks) data set of 50 components (see Tanıs and Saraçoğlu (2022)). The values of data set divided by 100 are as follows; {0.00013, 0.00065, 0.00111, 0.00111, 0.00163, 0.00309, 0.00426, 0.00535, 0.00684, 0.00747, 0.00997, 0.01284, 0.01304, 0.01647, 0.01829, 0.02336, 0.02838, 0.03269 0.03977, 0.03981, 0.04520, 0.04789, 0.04849, 0.05202, 0.05291, 0.05349 0.05911, 0.06018, 0.06427, 0.06456, 0.06572, 0.07023, 0.07087, 0.07291 0.07787, 0.08596, 0.09388, 0.10261, 0.10713, 0.11658,

| | rapio 0. Into method and produced cribi <u>ror onwaach cameer aana sen.</u> | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Distribution | | MLEs (standard errors [*]) | | | | | | | | |
| RTUOMG | $\hat{\alpha} = 7.08299 (1.79777*)$ | $\beta = 1.11156 (0.076196^*)$ | $\hat{p} = 0.01 \ (0.19155^*)$ | | | | | | | |
| UOMG | $\hat{\alpha} = 7.33820 (1.26419^*)$ | $\hat{\beta} = 1.13426 (0.07418^*)$ | | | | | | | | |
| CUG ⁻ | $\hat{\mu} = 0.06533 \ (0.00660^*)$ | $\hat{\beta} = 0.01000 (0.77332^*)$ | | | | | | | | |
| CUL | $\hat{\mu} = 0.06255 (0.00646^*)$ | $\ddot{\beta} = 1.42330~(2.04066^*)$ | | | | | | | | |
| UW | $\hat{\alpha} = 0.03608 \ (0.00928^*)$ | $\hat{\beta} = 2.84277 (0.18084^*)$ | | | | | | | | |

Table 8: The MLEs and (standard error*) for bladder cancer data set.

Table 9: Model selection statistics for bladder cancer data set.

| $n = 127$ | | | ΚS | | CvM | | | | АD | |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|--|------------------|---------|--|
| Model | $-2\log L$ | AIC | Statistic | P-value | Statistic | P-value | | Statistic | P-value | |
| RTUOMG | -365.0942 | -359.0942 | 0.0655 | 0.6468 | 0.1077 | 0.5492 | | 0.6613 | 0.5917 | |
| UOMG | -362.3548 | -358.3548 | 0.0693 | 0.5759 | 0.1145 | 0.5188 | | 0.6694 | 0.5846 | |
| CUG | -362.3154 | -358.3154 | 0.0786 | 0.4121 | 0.1557 | 0.3730 | | 1.0273 | 0.3431 | |
| CUL | -362.9674 | -358.9674 | 0.0891 | 0.2654 | 0.1701 | 0.3341 | | 1.1275 | 0.2967 | |
| UW | -358.6090 | -354.6090 | 0.0679 | 0.6011 | 0.1546 | 0.3764 | | 1.0428 | 0.3354 | |

0.13006, 0.13388, 0.13842, 0.17152, 0.17283, 0.19418, 0.23471, 0.24777, 0.32795, 0.48105}. The descriptive statistics of failure times data set are given in Table 10. The MLEs estimators with their standard errors and model selection statistics fitted to the failure times data are reported in Table 11-12. Figure 5 shows the graph of fitted CDFs and PDFs obtained from the estimates in Table 11. The RTUOMG distribution fits this data better than the UOMG, CUG, CUL, and UW distribution in terms of lowest values of AIC and goodness of fit statistics (with their high p-values).

Table 10: The descriptive statistic for failure times data set.

| | | | Minimum 1st Quartile Median Mean 3rd Quartile Maximum Skewness Kurtosis | | | |
|---------|---------|-----------------|---|---------|---------|---------|
| 0.00013 | 0.01390 | 0.05320 0.07821 | 0.10043 | 0.48105 | 2.37799 | 7.22886 |

Figure 5: Fitted CDFs and PDFs for failure times data.

| | Last Le Le Luville alle alle production de TOI IWILUIO VIIIOD UWUW DOVI | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Distribution | | $MLEs$ (standard errors [*]) | | | | | | | | |
| RTUOMG | $\hat{\alpha} = 4.84868 (1.11256^*)$ | $\beta = 0.687588(0.15471^*)$ | $\hat{p} = 0.526608 (0.422478^*)$ | | | | | | | |
| UOMG. | $\hat{\alpha} = 4.27178 (1.03678^*)$ | $\hat{\beta} = 0.818416 (0.08980^*)$ | | | | | | | | |
| CUG | $\hat{\mu} = 0.05885 (0.00965^*)$ | $\hat{\beta} = 0.01000 (1.03830^*)$ | | | | | | | | |
| CUL | $\hat{\mu} = 0.04708 \ (0.00915^*)$ | $\ddot{\beta} = 0.21444 (0.18292^*)$ | | | | | | | | |
| UW | $\hat{\alpha} = 0.05624 (0.02112^*)$ | $\hat{\beta} = 2.12460 (0.22026^*)$ | | | | | | | | |

Table 11: The MLEs and (standard error^{*}) for failure times data set.

Table 12: Model selection statistics for failure times data set.

| $n=50$ | | | ΚS | | | CvM | | АD |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| Model | $-2\log L$ | AIC- | Statistic | P-value | Statistic | P-value | Statistic | P-value |
| RTUOMG | -159.8693 | -153.8693 | 0.1003 | 0.6960 | 0.0638 | 0.7920 | 0.3342 | 0.9100 |
| UOMG | -156.5532 | -152.5532 | 0.0973 | 0.7306 | 0.0676 | 0.7684 | 0.4231 | 0.8248 |
| CUG | -152.4296 | -148.4296 | 0.1207 | 0.4597 | 0.1702 | 0.3343 | 1.6746 | 0.1399 |
| CUL | -156.3623 | -152.3623 | 0.0892 | 0.8212 | 0.0889 | 0.6443 | 0.8247 | 0.4629 |
| UW | -154.2329 | -150.2329 | 0.1483 | 0.2214 | 0.1817 | 0.3064 | 0.9602 | 0.3784 |

7. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proposed a new record-based transmuted generalization of the unit omega distribution and call it RTUOMG distribution. We derived mathematical expressions for the various important statistical quantities and graphically demonstrated the behavior of the density and hazard function. We adopted the five different types of estimation techniques for estimating the unknown parameters of the proposed distribution. Using the Monte Carlo algorithm, a well organized simulation study has been performed to understand the behavior of the considered estimators for the RTUOMG distribution with respect to absolute biases and MSEs under different setups of parameters. Based on simulation results, we recommend to use ML, AD, and WLS estimation technique for estimating the parameters of RTUOMG distribution. Moreover, the utility of the RTUOMG distribution has been also compared with some other considered distributions by analyzing two real life data sets. A dominating nature of RTUOMG distribution shows the more effectiveness and flexibility in real life modeling.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful to the editor and referee for their constructive and helpful comments which have significantly improved the article. The first author would like to express his gratitude to DST-FIST grant with letter no. SR/FST/MS-I/2021/104. M. Arshad would like to express his gratitude to the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), India for financial support under the Core Research Grant CRG/2023/001230. A. Ali would like to thank DST Inspire Fellowship [IF190682], Government of India, for providing financial support.

REFERENCES

- Alizadeh, M., Rasekhi, M., Yousof, H. M., and Hamedani, G. (2018). The transmuted weibullg family of distributions. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 47(6):1671–1689. 10.15672/HJMS.2017.440.
- Alsubie, A., Akhter, Z., Athar, H., Alam, M., Ahmad, A. E.-B. A., Cordeiro, G. M., and Afify, A. Z. (2021). On the omega distribution: Some properties and estimation. *Mathematics*, 9(6):656. https://doi.org/10.3390/math9060656.
- Anderson, T. W. and Darling, D. A. (1952). Asymptotic theory of certain "goodness of fit" criteria based on stochastic processes. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 23(2):193–212. https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177729437.
- Arshad, M., Khetan, M., Kumar, V., and Pathak, A. K. (2024). Record-based transmuted generalized linear exponential distribution with increasing, decreasing and bathtub shaped failure rates. *Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation*, 53(7):3489–3513. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610918.2022.2106494.
- Balakrishnan, N. and He, M. (2021). A record-based transmuted family of distributions. *Advances in Statistics-Theory and Applications: Honoring the Contributions of Barry C. Arnold in Statistical Science*, pages 3–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-62900-7_1.
- Birbiçer, İ. and Genç, A. İ. (2023). On parameter estimation of the standard omega distribution. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 50(15):3108–3124. https://doi.org/10.1080/02664763.2022.2101045.
- Boos, D. D. (1982). Minimum anderson-darling estimation. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 11(24):2747–2774. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610928208828420.
- Broyden, C. G. (1970). The convergence of a class of double-rank minimization algorithms: 2. the new algorithm. *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 6(3):222–231. https://doi.org/10.1093/imamat/6.3.222.
- Chhetri, S., Mdziniso, N., and Ball, C. (2022). Cubic rank transmuted lindley distribution with applications. *Revista Colombiana de Estad´ıstica*, 45(1):65–83. http://doi.org/10.15446/rce.v45n1.93548.
- Dey, S., Moala, F. A., and Kumar, D. (2018). Statistical properties and different methods of estimation of gompertz distribution with application. *Journal of Statistics and Management Systems*, 21(5):839–876. https://doi.org/10.1080/09720510.2018.1450197.
- Dombi, J., Jonas, T., Toth, Z. E., and Arva, G. (2019). The omega probability distribution and its applications in reliability theory. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, 35(2):600–626. https://doi.org/10.1002/qre.2425.
- Elbatal, I. (2013). Transmuted generalized inverted exponential distribution. *Economic Quality Control*, 28(2):125–133. https://doi.org/10.1515/eqc-2013-0020.
- Fletcher, R. (1970). A new approach to variable metric algorithms. *The Computer Journal*, 13(3):317– 322. https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/13.3.317.
- Ghitany, M., Mazucheli, J., Menezes, A., and Alqallaf, F. (2019). The unit-inverse gaussian distribution: A new alternative to two-parameter distributions on the unit interval. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 48(14):3423–3438. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610926.2018.1476717.
- Goldfarb, D. (1970). A family of variable-metric methods derived by variational means. *Mathematics of Computation*, 24(109):23–26. https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-1970-0258249-6.
- Gradshteyn, I. S. and Ryzhik, I. M. (2014). *Table of integrals, series, and products*. Academic press, New York. ISBN:0-12-294760-6.
- Granzotto, D., Louzada, F., and Balakrishnan, N. (2017). Cubic rank transmuted distributions: inferential issues and applications. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 87(14):2760– 2778. https://doi.org/10.1080/00949655.2017.1344239.
- Guerra, R. R., Peña-Ramírez, F. A., and Bourguignon, M. (2021). The unit extended weibull families of distributions and its applications. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 48(16):3174–3192. https://doi.org/10.1080/02664763.2020.1796936.
- Jodrá, P. and Arshad, M. (2022). An intermediate muth distribution with increasing failure rate. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 51(23):8310–8327. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610926.2021.1892133.
- Jónás, T. and Bakouch, H. S. (2022). The generalized omega function and its connection with some probability distributions. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 19(6):250. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-022-02184-2.
- Kemaloglu, S. A. and Yilmaz, M. (2017). Transmuted two-parameter lindley distribution. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 46(23):11866–11879. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610926.2017.1285933.
- Khan, M. S. and King, R. (2014). A new class of transmuted inverse weibull distribution for reliability analysis. *American Journal of Mathematical and Management Sciences*, 33(4):261–286. https://doi.org/10.1080/01966324.2014.929989.
- Kharazmi, O. and Balakrishnan, N. (2021). Informational properties of transmuted distributions. *Filomat*, 35(13):4287–4303. https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2113287K.
- Korkmaz, M. C. and Korkmaz, Z. S. (2023). The unit log-log distribution: A new unit distribution with alternative quantile regression modeling and educational measurements applications. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 50(4):889–908. https://doi.org/10.1080/02664763.2021.2001442.
- Kumaraswamy, P. (1980). A generalized probability density function for double-bounded random processes. *Journal of Hydrology*, 46(1-2):79–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(80)90036-0.
- Lee, D.-M., Rathie, A. K., Parmar, R. K., and Kim, Y.-S. (2011). Generalization of extended beta function, hypergeometric and confluent hypergeometric functions. *Honam Mathematical Journal*, 33(2):187–206. https://doi.org/10.5831/HMJ.2011.33.2.187.
- Lee, E. T. and Wang, J. (2003). *Statistical methods for survival data analysis. 476*. John Wiley & Sons. ISBN:0-471-36997-7.
- Martínez-Flórez, G., Olmos, N. M., and Venegas, O. (2024). Unit-bimodal birnbaum-saunders distribution with applications. *Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation*, 53(5):2173– 2192. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610918.2022.2069260.
- Maya, R., Jodra, P., Irshad, M., and Krishna, A. (2024). The unit muth distribution: statistical properties and applications. *Ricerche di Matematica*, 73:1843–1866. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11587- 022-00703-7.
- Mazucheli, J., Korkmaz, M. Ç., Menezes, A. F., and Leiva, V. (2023). The unit generalized half-normal quantile regression model: Formulation, estimation, diagnostics, and numerical applications. *Soft Computing*, 27(1):279–295. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-022-07278-3.
- Mazucheli, J., Menezes, A., Fernandes, L., De Oliveira, R., and Ghitany, M. (2020). The unit-weibull distribution as an alternative to the kumaraswamy distribution for the modeling of quantiles conditional on covariates. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 47(6):954–974. https://doi.org/10.1080/02664763.2019.1657813.
- Mazucheli, J., Menezes, A. F. B., and Chakraborty, S. (2019). On the one parameter unit-lindley distribution and its associated regression model for proportion data. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 46(4):700–714. https://doi.org/10.1080/02664763.2018.1511774.
- Merovci, F. (2013). Transmuted lindley distribution. *International Journal of Open Problems in Computer Science and Mathematics*, 6(2):63–72. https://doi.org/10.12816/0006170.
- Okorie, I. E. and Nadarajah, S. (2019). On the omega probability distribution. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, 35(6):2045–2050. https://doi.org/10.1002/qre.2462.
- Ozarslan, M. A. and Ustaoğlu, C. (2019). Some incomplete hypergeometric functions and incomplete riemann-liouville fractional integral operators. *Mathematics*, 7(5):483. https://doi.org/10.3390/math7050483.
- $\ddot{\text{O}}$ zbilen, $\ddot{\text{O}}$. and Genç, A. İ. (2022). A bivariate extension of the omega distribution for two-dimensional proportional data. *Mathematica Slovaca*, 72(6):1605–1622. https://doi.org/10.1515/ms-2022-0111.
- P. Singh, B. and Dhar Das, U. (2023). On an induced distribution and its statistical properties. *REVSTAT-Statistical Journal*, 21(3):303–319. https://doi.org/10.57805/revstat.v21i3.360.
- Prataviera, F. and Cordeiro, G. M. (2024). The unit omega distribution, properties and its application. *American Journal of Mathematical and Management Sciences*, pages 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/01966324.2024.2310648.
- Ribeiro-Reis, L. D. (2021). Unit log-logistic distribution and unit log-logistic regression model. *Journal of the Indian Society for Probability and Statistics*, 22(2):375–388. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41096- 021-00109-y.
- Sakthivel, K. and Nandhini, V. (2022). Record-based transmuted power lomax distribution: Properties and its applications in reliability. *Reliability: Theory & Applications*, 17(4):574–592. https://doi.org/10.24412/1932-2321-2022-471-574-592.
- Shaked, M. and Shanthikumar, J. G. (2007). Stochastic orders, springer science & business media, New York, NY.
- Shanno, D. F. (1970). Conditioning of quasi-newton methods for function minimization. *Mathematics of Computation*, 24(111):647–656. https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-1970-0274029-X.
- Shaw, W. T. and Buckley, I. R. (2007). The alchemy of probability distributions: beyond gramcharlier expansions, and a skew-kurtotic-normal distribution from a rank transmutation map. UCL discovery repository. 1-16.
- Swain, J. J., Venkatraman, S., and Wilson, J. R. (1988). Least-squares estimation of distribution functions in johnson's translation system. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 29(4):271–297. https://doi.org/10.1080/00949658808811068.
- Tanış, C. and Saraçoğlu, B. (2022). On the record-based transmuted model of balakrishnan and he based on weibull distribution. *Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation*, 51(8):4204–4224. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610918.2020.1740261.
- Taniş, C. and Saraçoğlu, B. (2023). Cubic rank transmuted generalized gompertz distribution: properties and applications. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 50(1):195–213. https://doi.org/10.1080/02664763.2022.2025585.
- Tian, Y., Tian, M., and Zhu, Q. (2014). Transmuted linear exponential distribution: A new generalization of the linear exponential distribution. *Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation*, 43(10):2661–2677. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610918.2013.763978.
- Topp, C. W. and Leone, F. C. (1955). A family of j-shaped frequency functions. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 50(269):209–219. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1955.10501259.